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## LEARNING TARGETS

- Understanding financial statements and the mechanics of cash flow.
- Designing assumptions based on historical performance.
- Forecasting cash flows.


## What is Valuation?

Valuation, in finance, involves the determination of the economic value of a business.

The interest in Valuation has increased since the 90's.

The objective of Finance is to maximize the shareholder value.

The concept of "shareholder value" is so important in Corporate Finance as the concepts of "arbitrage" in Financial Economics and "general equilibrium" in Macroeconomics...

## VALUATION: AN INTERDISCIPLINARY TOPIC

Valuation joins and integrates concepts from different disciplines such as:

- Accounting
- Statistics and Econometrics
- Financial Mathematics
- Economics
- Corporate Finance Theory


## When do we need to perform a valuation?

1. As a requirement of a willing buyer (the most common case)
2. Changes in the equity capital composition (a new partner wants to buy a share of the company or an old partner wants to sell his share)
3. Privatization or nationalization (the Government sells a state-owned company to a private company or vice-versa)
4. When a company is going public (a privately held company decides to make an IPO)

## We pay for the equity value

We value the assets, buy we pay for the equity...
When we value a company, we obtain first (using the free cash flow method) the fair value of its operations, represented by the letter " V ":

## V=Fair value of assets

But we pay for the equity value, since paying for the equity value give us rights on the assets but
 also responsibilities on financial debt " $D$ "; to obtain the equity fair value we have to subtract " $D$ " from " V ":
V-D= Fair value of equity "E"

## What is fair value?

The company fair value is the price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller, when the latter is not under any compulsion to sell, and the buyer has complete or at least reasonable information about the financial situation of the company.

So...nobody can get a better deal than the other...

Willing $\rightarrow$ not forced to transact
Reasonably informed $\rightarrow$ means more than being informed about the accuracy of financial statements.

## What is a balance sheet?



## WHAT IS AN INCOME STATEMENT

Sales
COGS (cost of goods sold)
Gross margin
Adm. expenses
Comm. expenses
EBIT
Interest expense EBT
Taxes
Net Income

## Old valuation methods: Balance sheet method

A rudimentary way of valuing a business is simply to take its balance sheet and subtract liabilities from assets to arrive at the equity value. However, this book value has little resemblance to the fair value of the company for at least three reasons:

1. Assets are recorded at historical costs, which may be much greater or much less than their present market values. The case of fixed assets is the typical example.
2. Balance sheet is vulnerable to accounting conventions. Different practices regarding inventory values and depreciation methods distort comparisons.
3. The firm's ability to generate future profits and cash flows does not appear on the balance sheet.

## INDUSTRY GROWTH PHASES



## BALANCE SHEET SHORTCOMINGS: MEMORIAL COMPANY

"Memorial" is a mature company that has passed both the emerging and growth phases of industry growth. Now its growth is slower, near zero, so it does not need to invest in working capital and capex. Because Memorial does not invest in itself, all net income is distributed as dividends...

| Sales | 100 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Operative cost | $\frac{(70)}{30}$ |
| Earning before taxes | $\frac{(12)}{18} \longrightarrow$ |
| Taxes (40\%) |  |

As all net income is distributed as dividends every year, Shareholder Equity never grows...

Assets 50
S. Equity 50
S. Equity ${ }_{1}=$ S. Equity ${ }_{0}+$ Net income - Dividends

Although Memorial is profitable, its equity capital remains unchanged; therefore, estimating the equity fair value using the balance sheet method is not a recommendable practice.

## MAIN VALUATION METHODS

## Discounted Cash flow

## Free Cash Flow

Capital Cash Flow
Equity cash flow
Adjusted Present Value (APV)

These methods use different measures of cash flow but give identical values when cost of capital adjustments are performed.

Comparable companies are first identified and once the EBITDA multiples of the sample were obtained, the mean of the peer group is multiplied by the current company's EBITDA to obtain an estimation of the equity fair value.

## CASE INTRODUCTION

"San Telmo" is a company that produces and sells plastic packaging products for other companies.

San Telmo is fully financed with equity capital (which means that it has no financial debt). A company that has no financial debt is known as an "unlevered" company.

The business is settled in an emerging country, in which the average inflation rate is about 4\% per year, and the average GDP growth rate is about $3 \%$ per year.

## CASE INTRODUCTION

Balance sheet and income statement for the last two years are shown in the following figures:

| Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 |  | Income statement | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | 10 | 23 | Sales | 130 | 144 |  |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 | COGS | 64 | 72 |  |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 | Gross margin | 66 | 72 |  |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 | Adm. expenses | 9 | 10 |  |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | Comm. Expenses | 11 | 12 |  |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 | EBIT | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |  |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 25 | Income taxes | 18 | 20 |  |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 | Net income | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |  |
| Tot. liab. + S. Equity | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |  |  |  |  |

## CASE INTRODUCTION

The case has two objectives:

1. To show how the cash balance changed from $\$ 10$ million in 2010 to $\$ 23$ million in 2011

| Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | $10 \longrightarrow$ | 23 |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 25 |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 |
| Tot. liab. + Net worth | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |

## CASE INTRODUCTION

2. To forecast the free cash flow for the 2012-2016 period, taking into account the connections between Balance Sheet, Income Statement and Cash Flow Statement

| 4 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Historic |  |  |  | Project |  |  |  |  | Historical |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |
| 2 | Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |  | Income statement | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |
| 3 | Cash | 10 | 23 | 50 | 80 | 113 | 148 | 186 |  | Sales | 130 | - 144 | 154 | 162 | 170 | 178 | 187 |
| 4 | Accounts receivable | - 30 | - 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 |  | COGS | 64 | - 72 | 77 | 81 | 85 | 89 | 94 |
| 5 | Inventories | - 20 | 24 |  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 31 |  | Gross margin | 66 | \% 72 | 77 | 81 | 85 | 89 | 94 |
| 6 | Fixed Assets | 245 | 49 | 52 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 54 |  | Adm. expenses | 9 | - 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| 7 | Total assets | 105 | 132 | 165 | 200 | 237 | 276 | 317 |  | Comm. Expenses | 11 | - 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| 8 | Accounts payable | - 20 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 20 | 30 | 31 |  | EBIT | 46 | \$ 50 | 54 | 57 | 59 | 62 | 66 |
| 9 | Total liabilities | 20 | 25 | $\underline{26}$ | 2 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 31 |  | Income taxes | 18 | - 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 10 | Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 | 139 | 173 | 209 | 246 | 286 |  | Net income | 28 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 39 |
| 11 | Tot. liab. + S. Equity | 105 | 132 | 165 | 200 | 231 | 276 | 347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  | Historic | ratios |  |  | Project | ed ratios | , |  |  |  | Historical |  |  | Projected |  |  |
| 15 |  | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |  |  | CASH FLOW | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |
| 16 | Income statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EBIT | \$ 50 | 54 | 57 | 59 | 62 | 66 |
| 17 | Sales increase |  | 11\% | 7\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |  |  | Depreciation | - 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 18 | COGS | 49\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |  |  | EBETDA | - 56 | 61 | 65 | 68 | 72 | 77 |
| 19 | Adm. expenses | 6,9\% | 6,9\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |  | Accounts Peseivable | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 20 | Commercial expenses | 8,5\% | 8,3\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |  |  | inventaries | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | Income taxes | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |  |  | Accounts payable | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | Activity ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Income taxes | 120 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 23 | DSO | 84 | 91 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |  | Cash from operations | 31 | 37 | 40 | 43 | 45 | 48 |
| 24 | DSI | 114 | 122 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |  | Cash from investments | - 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 25 | DPO |  | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |  | FREE CASH FLOW | \& 21 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 35 | 38 |
| 26 | Capex and deprecia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dividends | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Capex | 0 |  | 10 | 10. | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  | Increase (decr.) in cash | 13 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 35 | 38 |
| 28 | Depreciation | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  | Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | Purchases $=($ COGS-El + E $)$ |  | 76 | 78 | 82 | 86 | 91 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FIRST LOOK AT THE INCOME STATEMENT

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| Sales | 130 | 144 |
| COGS | 64 | 72 |
| Gross margin | 66 | 72 |
| Adm. expenses | 9 | 10 |
| Comm. Expenses | 11 | 12 |
| EBIT | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| Income taxes | 18 | 20 |
| Net income | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

The purpose of Income Statement is to show whether the company made or lost money during a particular period.

Revenues, expenses and taxes are recognized (*) for a particular period, usually one year.

EBIT is one of the most important measures of profit, since it represents the profit generated by the business.


It does not matter how the company is $\longleftarrow$ financed, the EBIT does not change. Capital structure does not affect the EBIT. EBIT is generated by the company's assets.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ "recognized" refers to the accrual practice convention.

## FIRST LOOK AT THE INCOME STATEMENT



## WHAT IS DEPRECIATION?

Sales

Includes depreciation of fixed assets used to
COGS
Gross Margin
Includes depreciation (maybe the depreciation of
Administrative expenses $\longrightarrow$ the desk used by the CEO)

## Commercial expenses <br> $\qquad$ <br> Includes depreciation (maybe the depreciation of

 the truck used to deliver the company's products)Income taxes
Net income

Depreciation is a non-cash expense that represents the recognition of the deterioration of the fixed assets due to the use and the course of time, but does not affect the company's cash flow.

## FIRST LOOK AT THE BALANCE SHEET

| Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | 10 | 23 |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 25 |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 |
| Tot. liab. + S. Equity | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |

## Additional data:

1. In 2011 San Telmo paid dividends totalling \$8 million.
2. In 20011 Depreciation charge totalling 6 million.

Balance sheet summarizes a company's assets, liabilities and shareholder's equity at a specific point in time.

It gives us an idea about what the company owns and owes and the amount invested by the shareholders.

The balance sheet is more useful when:

1. There are some years of information
2. It is analyzed in tandem with the other financial statements (Income and Cash Flow statements

## FIRST LOOK AT THE BALANCE SHEET - FIXED ASSETS

- Fixed assets are recorded at the acquisition cost minus depreciations.
- A depreciation charge is reflected in two places: on the balance sheet and in the operative expenses, basically in the COGS.
- In 2011 fixed assets are composed by a building and a machine. The machine was acquired in 2011 for $\$ 10$ million.
- Both are depreciated based on a straight line depreciation method.

| Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | 10 | 23 |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 |
| Total assets | 105 | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 25 |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 |
| Tot. liab. + S. Equity | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |
| ing |  |  |
| inery |  |  |

Fixed assets balance at d 2011 is equal to the value at the beginning plus capex minus depreciation (45+10-6=49)

## Accounts Receivable, INVENTORIES AND ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

- Usually, an increase in sales leads to an increase in inventories, accounts receivable and accounts payable.
- A purchase of inventories means more accounts payable, since the company purchases inventories on credit.
- A sales increase usually means an increase in accounts receivable, since when the company sells its products it gives some days to their customers to pay the bills.

| Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | 10 | 23 |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 25 |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 |
| Tot. liab. + S. Equity | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
|  |  |  |

## The Statement of Shareholder's Equity

Shareholder's Equity, or Equity, is usually defined as total assets minus total liabilities. Put another way, Equity is what is owned minus what is owed.

The Statement of Shareholder's Equity details the changes within the equity section of the balance sheet during a period of time.

For example, the 2011 Equity of San Telmo is equal to the Equity at the beginning plus the net income minus dividends (plus stocks issuance, if there was any):

Shareholder's Equity at December 201085

+ Net income December 2011
30
- Dividends paid in 20118
+ Stocks issuance -
Shareholder's Equity at December 2011107


## INFLOWS AND OUTFLOWS: RULES

| INFLOW | OUTFLOW |
| :---: | :---: |
| Assets decrease | Assets increase |
| Liabilities increase | Liabilities decrease |
| Incomes or revenues | Expenses or losses |
| Stock issuance | Dividends |

"Any decrease in assets, any increase in liabilities and income, must be considered as an inflow (and vice versa)".

Stock issuance is an inflow and dividends paid are an outflow, which are reflected directly in the statement of shareholder's equity.

## FREE CASH FLOW MODEL

| CASH FLOW from OPERATIONS | EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes) <br> + Depreciation \& Amortization <br> - Increase in accounts receivable <br> - Increase in inventories <br> + Increase in accounts payable <br> - Income taxes | Changes in working capital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOW from INVESTMENTS | - Capital expenditures (CAPEX) |  |
|  | FREE CASH FLOW |  |

## CASH FLOW: HOW IS GENERATED



## EXERCISES

1. A purchase of inventories means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) An inflow, if the company purchases inventories on credit.
b) An inflow, if the company purchases inventories on cash.
c) An outflow, if the company pays on cash.
2. An increase in accounts payable means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) There is no cash effect, if the company pays the bill on cash.
b) A neutral effect on cash flow, if the increase in accounts payable is a consequence of an inventory's purchase on credit.
c) An inflow, if the increase is a consequence of an inventory's purchase on cash.

## EXERCISES

1. A purchase of inventories means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) An inflow, if the company purchases inventories on credit.
b) An inflow, if the company purchases inventories on cash.
c) An outflow, if the company pays on cash.
2. An increase in accounts payable means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) There is no cash effect, if the company pays the bill on cash.
b) A neutral effect on cash flow, if the increase in accounts payable is a consequence of an inventory's purchase on credit.
c) An inflow, if the increase is a consequence of an inventory's purchase on cash.

## EXERCISES

1. An increase of fixed asset means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) An outflow, if the company purchases fixed assets on credit
b) There is no cash effect, if the company purchases fixed assets on cash
c) An outlow, if the company purchases fixed assets on cash
2. A decrease in accounts receivable always means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) An outlflow
b) There is no cash effect
c) An inflow, since a decrease in accounts receivable means that the company collected their sales

## EXERCISES

1. An increase of fixed asset means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) An outflow, if the company purchases fixed assets on credit
b) There is no cash effect, if the company purchases fixed assets on cash
c) An outlow, if the company purchases fixed assets on cash
2. A decrease in accounts receivable always means (you have to choose the correct option):
a) An outlflow
b) There is no cash effect
c) An inflow, since a decrease in accounts receivable means that the company collected their sales

## EXERCISES

San Temo's sales grew 14 million in 2011. As a consequence, the company had to invest in working capital (accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable).
a) How much was the net investment in working capital in 2011?
b) How much did the company have to invest in working capital per unit of increase in sales?

|  | Dec 11 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Increase in sales | 14 |
| Changes in accounts receivable | 6 |
| Changes in inventories | 4 |
| Changes in accounts payable | 5 |

## SOLUTION

The net investment in working capital was of $\$ 5$ million ( 6 million in accounts receivable, 4 million in inventories minus 5 million in account payables.

Since the company invested $\$ 5$ million as a consequence of an increase in sales of $\$ 14$ million, we can say that, for every dollar increase in sales, the company had to invest around 36 cents (5/14)

## EXERCISES

Imagine that these operations took place on the last working day in December 2011:
a) San Telmo got a bank loan of $\$ 50$ million.
b) San Telmo paid $\$ 20$ million in accounts payable
c) San Temo collected $\$ 10$ million in accounts receivable.

Show how these operations would modify the balance sheet and cash flow.

| Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | 10 | 23 |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 25 |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 |
| Tot. liab. + S. Equity | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |

## CASH FLOW: HOW IS GENERATED

| Balance sheet | Historical <br> d 2010 | d 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | 10 | 63 |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 26 |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 5 |
| Bank debt |  | 50 |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 55 |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 107 |
| Tot. liab. + S. Equity | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ |
| Control | 0 | 0 |

Interest on debt is 0 (zero) because the company received the loan at the end of 2011 and no interests were accrued.

| CASH FLOW | Dec 11 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EBIT | 50 |
| Depreciation | 6 |
| EBITDA | 56 |
| Changes in accounts receivable | -4 |
| Changes in inventories | 4 |
| Changes in accounts payable | -15 |
| Income tax | 20 |
| CASH FLOW FROM OPERATIONS | 21 |
| Capex | -10 |
| CASH FLOW FROM INVESTMENTS | 10 |
| FREE CASH FLOW | 11 |
| Bank debt | 50 |
| Interest on Bank debt | 0 |
| CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING | 50 |
| Dividends | 8 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash | 53 |

## INCOME VS. CASH FLOW

While the historical net income is an opinion - among many - the historical cash flow is a fact.

It does not matter which accounting practices were used to make the financial statements, the historical cash flow is never affected by accounting practices or depreciation methods.

Suppose for an instant, that the inventory book value at the end of 2011 was distorted by an accounting convention and its value changed from 24 million to 34 million.

## Does this situation modify the Free Cash Flow?

## CASH FLOW: HOW IT IS GENERATED

Since COGS= Inv. ${ }_{\text {beg. }}+$ Purchases - Inv. ${ }_{\text {END }}$, this practice leads to a lower COGS, which in turn leads to a higher EBIT.

| CASH FLOW | Historical d 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBIT |  | 60 |
| Depreciation |  | 6 |
| EBITDA |  | 56 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 6 |
| Inventories |  | 14 |
| Accounts payable |  | 5 |
| Income taxes |  | 20 |
| Cash from operations |  | 31 |
| Cash from investments |  | 10 |
| FREE CASH FLOW |  | 21 |
| Dividends |  | 8 |
| Increase (decrease) in ca |  | 13 |
| Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 |
| Cash | 10 | 23 |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 |
| Inventories | 20 | 34 |
| Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 |
| Total assets | 105 | 142 |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 |
| Total liabilities | 20 | 25 |
| Shareholder's Equity | 85 | 117 |
| Tot. Iiab. + S. Equity | 105 | 142 |

## EXERCISES

1. Your have to organize the following items to explain the Statement of Shareolders' Equity of Clank, a mexican company, for the year 2010.
```
Shareholders' Equity }200
    93.866
Shareholders' Equity 2010 110.571
Stock issuance 11.856
Net income 4.849
```

2. Using the following data, you have to demonstrate how much were the capital expenditures (capex) in 2011.

Fixed assets
Depreciation \& Amortization
dec-10 dec-11
132.782148 .081
13.072

## SOLUTION

1. 

Shareholders'Equity 2009
93.866

+ Stock issuance
11.856
+ Net income
Shareholders'Equity 2010
110.571

2. 

Fixed assets at the end of 2011

+ Depreciation \& Amortization
- Fixed assets at the end of 2010

Capital expenditures
dec-11
148.081
13.072
132.782
28.371

## EXERCISES

Suppose a company that in the year 2013 had:

- An EBITDA of $\$ 100$
- An increase on accounts receivable of $\$ 30$
- A decrease on inventories of $\$ 10$
- A decrease on accounts payable of $\$ 20$
- Income taxes were of \$20
- Capital expenditures were of $\$ 50$
a) How much is the cash flow from operations?
b) How much is the Free Cash Flow?


## SOLUTION

a)

EBITDA 100

- Increase in accounts receivable -30
+ Decrease in inventories 10
- Decrease in accounts payable -20
- Income taxes -20

Cash from operations 40
b)

Cash from operations 40

- CAPEX

Free Cash Flow
Free Cash Flow (10)

## EXERCISES

1. You have to organize the following items to obtain the free cash flow for the year 2013:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Income taxes | 20 | 30 |
| EBIT | 80 | 90 |
| Accounts payable | 30 | 45 |
| Inventories | 32 | 48 |
| Fixed assets | 120 | 140 |
| Depreciation | 10 | 12 |
| Accounts receivable | 60 | 50 |
| Other current assets | 15 | 20 |
| Other current liabilities | 20 | 23 |

## SOLUTION

2013
EBIT ..... 90

+ Depreciation ..... 12
EBITDA ..... 102
+ Decrease in accounts receivable ..... 10
- Increase in inventories ..... 16
+ Increase in accounts payable ..... 15
- Increase in other current assets ..... 5
+ Increase in other current liabilites ..... 3
- Income taxes ..... 30
Cash from operations ..... 79
- Capex ..... 32
Cash from investments ..... 32
Free Cash Flow ..... 47


## FORECASTED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: ASSUMPTIONS

Now that we have already understood the historical financial statements, it is time to forecast them. To do that, we need to design assumptions. The assumptions we use are critical to our cash flow forecasting.

The key rule is to base our assumptions on objective information. For example:

- Sales could be forecasted using a statistical method (for example, regression analysis)
- Operating expenses for next years could be based on past performance (either last year's performance or an average of years of performance)
- Working capital investment could be based on activity ratios (DSO, DSI, DAP observed on either last year's performance or an average of years of performance)
- Fixed assets are related with sales volume, although this relation is not linear.


## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PROJECTION

Before designing the assumptions, it can be useful to consider the following points of reference:

## Income statement

- Sales and GDP relationship
- COGS/sales
- Adm. Exp/sales
- Comm. Expenses/sales


## Balance sheet

- DSO, DSI and DAP.
- Fixed assets and sales relationship: Capex/Sales ratio.

Income Statement always has to be forecasted first, since we'll need the forecasted values of sales, COGS and purchases to project accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable, respectively. And fixed assets also are related to sales, although this relation is not so linear as the other items.

## INCOME STATEMENT PROJECTION

We can use some historical ratios as a reference point to design the assumptions.


It seems reasonable to express operating expenses (COGS, administrative and commercial expenses) as a percentage of forecasted sales, since in the past they exhibited a stable relationship.

Income taxes: we will assume an effective tax rate of $40 \%$.

## FORECASTED INCOME STATEMENT: MECHANICS

The mechanics of Income Statement forecasting are quite simple.

First, we have to fill each cell of the assumption's set with the input data.

Second, we have to write the formulas that include the input data.

Assumption's set


This way gives us the posibility to modify later any input data and re-forecast the income statement (for example, if new information arrives and we want to introduce changes).

## SALES FORECASTING

We assume at first glance that San Telmo's sales will grow in 2012 at a rate around $7 \%$, composed by the expected GDP growth rate and the expected average inflation rate:

$$
\text { Sales }_{2012}=\text { Sales }_{2011}\left[\left(1+\text { growth rate }_{2012}\right)\left(1+\text { inflation rate }_{2012}\right)\right]
$$

We will assume a GDP growth of $4 \%$ and an inflation rate of $3 \%$ in 2012, which leads to a growth rate around $7 \%$ :

$$
144(1+0.04)(1.03)=154.25
$$

## SALES FORECASTING

Then we can assume that the growth will be a little lower in 2013 and beyond, about 5\% per year, based on an lower expected inflation rate:

| Year | Sales |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 154.25 |  |
| 2013 | 161.78 | (year $2012 \times 1.05$ ) |
| 2014 | 169.87 | (year 2013 $\times 1.05$ ) |
| 2015 | 178.37 | (year $\times 1.05$ ) |
| 2016 | 187.29 | (year $\times 1.05$ ) |

## SALES FORECASTING: REGRESSION ANALYSIS

- A more scientific methodology to forecast sales is a statistical method known as Regression Analysis. Econometrics has become strongly identified with Regression Analysis. This relates a dependent variable to one or more independent variables.
- In Valuation, regression analysis is used mainly to establish a relationship between GDP (which takes the role of independent variable) and company's sales (which take the role of dependent variable).
- The hypothesis is that when the GDP grows, sales also grow, and viceversa.


## SALES FORECASTING: REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Table1. GDP and San Telmo's sales

| Year | GDP | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 1.624 | 30 |
| 1993 | 1.760 | 35 |
| 1994 | 1.862 | 43 |
| 1995 | 1.995 | 41 |
| 1996 | 2.080 | 44 |
| 1997 | 2.256 | 50 |
| 1998 | 2.450 | 53 |
| 1999 | 2.598 | 52 |
| 2000 | 2.784 | 58 |
| 2001 | 3.010 | 61 |
| 2002 | 3.224 | 70 |
| 2003 | 3.467 | 68 |
| 2004 | 3.698 | 74 |
| 2005 | 3.900 | 85 |
| 2006 | 4.187 | 88 |
| 2007 | 4.541 | 92 |
| 2008 | 4.857 | 101 |
| 2009 | 5.111 | 119 |
| 2010 | 5.690 | 130 |
| 2011 | 6.100 | 144 |

Table 1 reports the GDP and San Telmo's sales for the 19922011 period (figures in millions of dollars).

If we draw a scatter diagram, it seems that there is a linear relationship between GDP ( X ) and Sales ( Y )...


## SALES FORECASTING: STEPS IN REGRESSION ANALYSIS

1. Select the sample of $X Y$ observations, then choose "dispersion" in the graph Excel menu.
2. We left-click in one point of the graph and then right-click and choose "Add Trendline".
3. We must check "Linear", "Display equation on chart" and "Display the R-squared value on chart".


## SALES FORECASTING: STEPS IN REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Excel uses the OLS method which is a technique for fitting the "best" straight line to the sample of $X Y$ observations. It involves minimizing the sum of the squared (vertical) deviations of points from the fitting value (fitting values are the values on the line).

Since " $x$ " in the equation represents the value of GDP, we need a GDP forecast first...


## SALES FORECASTING: STEPS IN REGRESSION ANALYSIS

1. We can estimate the value of GDP using the forecasted growth rate estimated by the World Bank or an economic researcher.

2. Once the GDP was forecasted in column B, the sales are forecasted using the linear regression equation $\mathbf{y}=0,0235 \mathbf{x - 6 , 9 2 9}$ in the range C23:C27

## EXERCISES

Table2. Consumption and disposable income

| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 114 | 102 |
| 118 | 106 |
| 126 | 108 |
| 130 | 110 |
| 136 | 122 |
| 140 | 124 |
| 148 | 128 |
| 156 | 130 |
| 160 | 142 |
| 164 | 148 |
| 170 | 150 |
| 178 | 154 |

Table 2 reports the consumption $(\mathrm{Y})$ and disposable income (X). Draw a scatter diagram and determine by inspection if there is an approximate linear relationship between Y and X .

Then, find the value of the interception and the slope.

With reference to the estimated OLS regression line, state the meaning of the interception and the meaning of the slope.

## SOLUTION



- The interception of 2.12 means that for a disposable income of zero, the compsumption would be of 2.12
- The slope of 0.86 means for each increment of 1 in the disposable income, the compsumption will increase 0.86
- A R ${ }^{2}$ of 0.97 means that $97 \%$ of the change in compsumption is explained by the change in disposable income.


## BALANCE SHEET FORECASTING

Once income statement has been forecasted, the following step is to forecast the balance sheet.

Again, we can use some historical ratios as a reference point to design the assumptions to forecast the balance sheet.

Although there is no precise order, it is suggested to start forecasting fixed assets and Equity, and then continue with the spontaneous assets and liabilities (accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable).

## Statement of the Shareholders' Equity

The forecasted Shareholders'equity is equal to the book value on the previous year plus the forecasted net income:


Notice that we not include dividends in our projections. According to the corporate finance theory, is assumed that all free cash flow is distributed as dividends.

## Corporate Finance Theory: Potential dividends

We assume that the forecasted free cash flow is the available cash flow that can be paid as dividends to equity holders. But they are not the actual dividends that were forecasted to be paid. Actually, only a part of net income after taxes can be paid as dividends to equity holders. But this doesn't mean that this cash flow is not property of the equity holders.

We are handling the problem considering the difference as "marketable securities", because investments in marketable securities have zero net present value and there is no effect on the value of the company.

Therefore, marketable securities are a part of cash flow to equity, and also disbursed to shareholders in the year it is acquired.

## BALANCE SHEET PROJECTION: FIXED ASSETS

| 4 | A | $\square$ | C | U | ヒ | - | $G$ | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Historica |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |
| 6 | Fixed Assets | 45 | 49 | 52 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 54 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  | Historical ratios |  | d 2012 |  | Projected ratios |  |  |
| 15 |  | d 2010 | d 2011 |  | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |
| 26 | Capex and dep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Capex | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 28 | Depreciation | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

The depreciation charge will increase $\$ 1$ million per year, since each machine costs $\$ 10$ million and it will be depreciated based on straight line depreciation method, supposing a useful life of 10 years (10/10=1)

Although plant and machines don't change every time the sales increase, capex projections must be consistent with sales forecasting.

While a sales increase attributable to price requires no additional capacity, a sales increase attributable to volume increase does. We assume that San Telmo will need to acquire one machine per year to support the increase in volume (here we assume that for each increase of $3 \%$ in volume, an additional machine is required).

|  | Historical |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |  |  |  |
| Sales | 130 | 144 | 154 | 162 | 170 | 178 | 187 |  |  |  |

## Accounts Receivable, INVENTORIES AND ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

We use the following formulas to examine the historical performance:
$\mathrm{DSI}=\frac{\text { Inventories }}{\text { COGS }} \times 365$

DAP $=\frac{\text { Accounts payable }}{\text { Purchases }} \times 365$

Rearranging terms we obtain the formulas to forecast AR, Inventories and AP:

$$
\text { Accounts receivable }=\frac{\mathrm{DSO}}{365} \times \text { Sales }
$$

$$
\text { Inventories }=\frac{\mathrm{DSI}}{365} \times \mathrm{COGS}
$$

$$
\text { Accounts payable }=\frac{\text { DAP }}{365} \times \text { Purchases }
$$

## AcCOUNTS RECEIVABLES, DSO ANALYSIS AND FORECASTING

$\mathrm{DSO}=\frac{\text { Accounts receivable }}{\text { Sales }} \times 365 \longleftarrow \quad$ Formula to calculate DSO
DSO 2010 $=\frac{30}{130} \times 365=84.2$
We calculate first the DSO for 2010 and 2011...

Accounts receivable $=\frac{\mathrm{DSO}}{365} \times$ Sales $\quad \longleftarrow \quad$ Formula to forecast accounts receivables
Since the historicals DSO were around 90 days, we will assume 90 days as a DSO for 2012 and beyond...
Accounts receivable 2012 $=\frac{90}{365} \times 154=38$
Forecasted sales 2012

## INVENTORIES, DSI ANALYSIS AND FORECASTING

$$
\text { DSI }=\frac{\text { Inventories }}{\text { COGS }} \times 365 \quad \longleftarrow \quad \text { Formula to calculate DSO }
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { DSI }=\frac{20}{64} \times 365=114 \\
\text { DSI }=\frac{24}{72} \times 365=121.6
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { We calculate first the DSO for } 2010 \text { and 2011... }
$$

$$
\text { Inventories }=\frac{\mathrm{DSI}}{365} \times \mathrm{COGS} \quad \longleftarrow \text { Formula to forecast inventories }
$$

## AcCOUNTS PAYABLE, DAP ANALYSIS AND FORECASTING

$$
\text { DAP }=\frac{\text { Accounts payable }}{\text { Purchases }} \times 365
$$

$$
\mathrm{DAP}=\frac{25}{76} \times 365=120
$$

Formula to forecast accounts payables

Accounts payable $=\frac{\text { DAP }}{365} \times$ Purchases

In order to obtain the DAP ratio we have to calculate purchases first, using the the formula of COGS and rearranging terms.

Since COGS $=\operatorname{lnv}_{1}+$ Purchases $-\operatorname{Inv}_{2}$
Then Purchases $=$ COGS- $\operatorname{Inv}_{1}+\operatorname{Inv}_{2}$
You notice that only one DAP historical ratio is calculated. This is because we need the inventory value at the beginning and we have only two fiscal years of information.

Since the historicals DAP was around 120 days, we will assume 120 days as a DAP for 2012 and beyond...

Accounts payable $=\frac{120}{365} \times 78=\underset{\sim}{26} \quad$ Forecasted Purchases 2012

## ASSUMPTIONS

After filling the cells with the data assumptions, we have to write the formulas in each cell of the balance sheet for fixed assets, accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable.

|  | Historical ratios <br> d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales increase |  | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| COGS | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Adm. expenses | $6,9 \%$ | $6,9 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Commercial expenses | $8,5 \%$ | $8,3 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Income taxes | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Activity ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DSO | 84 | 91 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| DSI | 114 | 122 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| DPO |  | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Capex and depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capex | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Depreciation | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

## Forecasted Balance Sheet

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | 10 | 23 |  | Cash $2011+$ increase (decrease) in cash |
| Accounts receivable | 30 | 36 | 38 | $\frac{\mathrm{DSO}}{\sim} \times \text { Sales }_{2012}$ |
| Inventories | 20 | 24 | 25 | $\frac{\mathrm{DSI}}{\partial c \tau} \times \mathrm{COGS}_{2012}$ |
| Fixed assets | 45 | 49 | 52 | $\mathrm{FA}_{2011}+\text { Capex }_{2011} \text { - deprec. } 2011$ |
| Total assets | 105 | 132 |  |  |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 25 | 26 | $\frac{\text { DAP }}{365} \times \text { Purchases }_{2012}$ |
| Total liabilities | 70 | 75 | 26 |  |
| Shareholder's Equity | 35 | 57 | 139 | -Equity ${ }_{2011}$ + Net income 2012 |
| Total liab. + S. Equity | 105 | 132 | 165 |  |

## FORECASTED CASH FLOW

Since the historical cash flow of 2011 contains formulas in each cell, we can copy and paste these formulas for the year 2012, and adding the increase in cash of 27 million to the cash at the beginning, we have a cash balance of 50 in 2012


## FORECASTED CASH FLOW

| 4 | A | B | C | U | E | F | G | H |  | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Historica |  |  |  | Project |  |  |  |  | Historical |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |
| 2 | Balance sheet | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |  | Income statement | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | d 2016 |
| 3 | Cash | 10 | 23 | 50 | 80 | 113 | 148 | 186 |  | Sales | 130 | - 144 | 154 | 162 | 170 | 178 | 187 |
| 4 | Accounts receivable | -30 | - 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 |  | COGS | 64 | - 72 | 77 | 81 | 85 | 89 | 94 |
| 5 | Inventories | - 20 | . 24 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 31 |  | Gross margin | 66 | - 72 | 77 | 81 | 85 | 89 | 94 |
| 6 | Fixed Assets | - 45 | 49 | 52 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 54 |  | Adm. expenses | 9 | - 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| 7 | Total assets | 105 | 132 | 165 | 200 | 237 | 276 | 317 |  | Comm. Expenses | 11 | - 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| 8 | Accounts payable | - 20 | 25 | 26 | 27 |  | 30 | 31 |  | EBIT | 46 | \$ 50 | 54 | 57 | 59 | 62 | 66 |
| 9 | Total liabilities |  | 25 | $\underline{26}$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 31 |  | Income taxes | 18 | - 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 10 | Shareholder's Equity | 85 |  | 139 | 173 | 209 | 246 | 286 |  | Net income | 28 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 39 |
| 11 | Tot. liab. + S. Equity | 105 | 132 | 165 | 200 | 231 | 276 | 372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  | Historic | ratios |  |  | Project | ed ratio | , |  |  |  | Historical |  |  | Projected |  |  |
| 15 |  | d 2010 | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | $\text { d } 2014$ | $\text { d } 2015$ | d 2016 |  |  | CASH. FLOW | d 2011 | d 2012 | d 2013 | d 2014 | d 2015 | 2016 |
| 16 | Income statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EDIT | \$ 50 | 54 | 57 | 59 | 62 | 65 |
| 17 | Sales increase |  | 11\% | 7\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |  |  | Depreciation | -6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 18 | COGS | 49\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |  |  | EBIEDA | - 56 | 61 | 65 | 68 | 72 | 77 |
| 19 | Adm. expenses | 6,9\% | 6,9\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |  | Accounts Treeiva |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 20 | Commercial expenses | 8,5\% | 8,3\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |  |  | inventaries | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | Income taxes | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |  |  | Accounts payable | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | Activity ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Income taxes | 120 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 23 | DSO | 84 | 91 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |  | Cash from ope | 831 | 37 | 40 | 43 | 45 | 48 |
| 24 | DSI | 114 | 122 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |  | Cash from inves | - 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 25 | DPO |  | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |  | FRES CASH F | 21 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 35 | 38 |
| 26 | Capex and depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dividends | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Capex | 0 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  | Increase (decr | 13 | 27 | 30 | 33 |  | 38 |
| 28 $\angle 9$ | Depreciation | 5 | -6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  | Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | Purchases= (COGS-EI+EF) |  | 76 | 78 | 82 | 86 | 91 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cash flow always must be forecasted taking into account the connections between assumptions, balance sheet and income statement...

## Do NO FORGET: AN INCREASE IN SALES MEANS INVESTMENTS



## EXERCISES

## Write one suitable word in each space:

Cash flow from operations is equal to EBIT plus. ..... minus anin accounts receivable and anin inventories,plus an
$\qquad$ in accounts payable minus .taxes.

The Company's Free Cash Flow is equal to the Cash Flow from $\qquad$ minus cash from $\qquad$

Finally, the cash balance at the end is equal to the cash balance
at $\qquad$ plus the in cash.

## EXERCISES

Write one suitable word in each space:

Cash flow from operations is equal to EBIT plus depreciation and amortization, minus an increase in accounts receivable and an increase in inventories, plus an increase in accounts payable minus income taxes.

The Company's Free Cash Flow is equal to the Cash Flow from operations minus cash from investments.

Finally, the cash balance at the end is equal to the cash balance at the beginning plus the increase/decrease in cash.

## EXERCISES

1. Some people believe that EBITDA is sometimes an approximate measure of the company's cash flow.

What are the differences between EBITDA and Free Cash Flow in the case of San Telmo in 2013?

Show and explain the differences using only four concepts: EBITDA, working capital investment, capex and income taxes.

## Solution: EBITDA AND Free Cash Flow

The differences between EBITDA and the free cash flow are the net investments (working capital and CAPEX) and income taxes

|  | Historical <br> d 2011 | d 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOW | 50 | 54 |
| EBIT | 6 | 7 |
| Depreciation | 56 | 61 |
| EBITDA | 6 | 2 |
| Accounts receivable | 4 | 1 |
| Inventories | 5 | 1 |
| Accounts payable | 20 | 22 |
| Income taxes | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| Cash from operations | 10 | 10 |
| Cash from investments | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| FREE CASH FLOW | 8 | 0 |
| Dividends | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |

EBITDA ..... 61
Working capital invest. ..... 2
Capex ..... 10
Income taxes ..... $\underline{22}$
FREE CASH FLOW ..... 27

## EXERCISES

2. Suppose an increase of $100 \%$ in San Telmo's sales for 2013 and explain the changes in EBITDA, working capital investment and income taxes.

In addition, assume that the company will have to invest $\$ 40$ in fixed assets. Compare these changes with the previous sales forecasting.

How much was the net investment of San Telmo in 2013?

## SOLUTION

| CASH FLOW | d 2012 | Differences: | CASH FLOW | d 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| EBIT | 54 |  | EBIT | 101 |
| Depreciation | 7 |  | Depreciation | 10 |
| EBITDA | 61 | +50 | EBITDA | 111 |
| Accounts receivable | 2 | +33 | Accounts receivable | 35 |
| Inventories | 1 | +22 | Inventories | 23 |
| Accounts payable | 1 | +29 | Accounts payable | 30 |
| Income taxes | 22 | +18 | Income taxes | 40 |
| Cash from operations | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |  | Cash from operations | 42 |
| Cash from investments | 10 | +30 | Cash from investments | 40 |
| FREE CASH FLOW | 27 | 25 |  | FREE CASH FLOW |

While EBITDA increased by $\$ 50$, the working capital investment increased by $\$ 26$ (55-29), capex increased by $\$ 30$ and income taxes increased by $\$ 18$.

So, the net effect on the Free Cash Flow was -\$25 in comparison with the original projection...

## EXERCISES

1. Re-forecast the income statement, balance sheet and cash flow for the year 2012 (by hand) using the following assumptions:

|  | Historical ratios <br> d 2010 <br> d 2011 | d $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement |  |  |  |
| Sales increase |  | $11 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| COGS | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Adm. expenses | $6,9 \%$ | $6,9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Commercial expenses | $8,5 \%$ | $8,3 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Income taxes | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Activity ratios |  |  |  |
| DSO | 84 | 91 | 90 |
| DSI | 114 | 122 | 120 |
| DPO |  | 120 | 120 |
| Capex and depreciation |  |  |  |
| Capex | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Depreciation | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Purchases= (COGS-El+EF) |  | 76 | 115 |

## EXERCISES

Perform a sensitity analysis using the Excel function "Table" in order to determine how sensitive is the free cash flow to changes in:
a) Sales increase of $-20 \%, 0 \%$ and $20 \%$
b) Sales increase of $-20 \%, 0 \%$ and $20 \%$ and simultaneously DSO values of 30,60 and 90 days (you have to use the function Tables considering "cell row" and "cell column"

## SOLUTION

## a) Free Cash Flow sensitivity to a change in sales



## SOLUTION

## b) Free Cash Flow sensitivity to a change in sales and DSO



## EXERCISES

AMC is a small company that operates in Argentina. It produces cleaning products. You have to:
a) Explain and design the cash flow of 2011.
b) What is the difference between EBITDA and free cash flow?

## Income statement

| Balance sheet |  |  | Sales | dec-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 7,586 |
|  | dec-10 | dec-11 | COGS | 5,538 |
| Cash \& Banks | 365 | 1,094 | Gross margin | 2,048 |
| Marketable securities |  | 103 | Adm. expenses | 653 |
| Accounts receivable | 261 | 446 | Commercial expenses | 548 |
| Inventories | 16 | 19 | Other income | 93 |
| Other ST assets | 216 | 166 | EBIT | 940 |
| Fixed assets | 2,777 | 2,728 | Interest expenses | 52 |
| Total assets | 3,635 | 4,556 | EBT | 888 |
| Accounts payable | 40 | 86 | Income taxes | 277 |
| Fiscal liabilities | 531 | 974 | Net income | 611 |
| ST Bank debt | 431 | 658 | Statement of Share | Equity |
| Total liabilities | 1,002 | 1,718 | dec-11 |  |
| Equity | 2,633 | 2,838 | Equity 2010 dec-11 |  |
| Total liabilites + Equity | 3,635 | 4,556 | $\text { Net income } \quad 611$ |  |
| Depreciation charge in 2011 is of \$177 mil. |  |  | Dividends |  |
|  |  |  | Equity 2011 |  |

## SOLUTION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | dec-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | EBIT | 940 |
|  |  |  | Income statement |  |  | Depreciation | 177 |
| Balance sheet |  |  | Sales |  | ${ }_{7}^{\text {dec-11 }}$ | EBITDA | 1,117 |
|  | dec-10 | dec-11 | Sales coss |  | 7,538 <br> , 5088 | Accounts receivable | 185 |
| Cash \& Banks <br> Marketable securities | 365 | 1,094 103 | ${ }_{\text {Adm. }}^{\text {Gross margin }}$ |  | 2,048 |  |  |
| Account receivable | 261 | 446 | commercial expenses |  | 548 | Inventories | 3 |
|  | 16 216 | 19 166 | Other income |  | 93 940 | Accounts payable | 46 |
| Eixedasassets | 2,777 | 2,728 |  |  | 52 |  |  |
| Total assets | 3,635 | 4,556 | EBT |  | 888 | Other ST assets | 50 |
| Accounts payable | 40 531 | 86 974 | Income taxes |  | ${ }_{611}^{277}$ | Fiscal liabilites | 443 |
| ST Bank debt | 431 | 658 | Equity evolution |  |  |  |  |
| Total liailities Equity | $\begin{aligned} & 1,002 \\ & 2,633 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,718 \\ & 2,838 \end{aligned}$ |  | dec-11 |  | Income taxes | 298 |
| Total liailites + Eauity | ${ }_{3,635}^{2,035}$ | ${ }_{4}^{2,556}$ | Equity 2010 Net income | $\begin{gathered} 2,632 \\ 611 \end{gathered}$ |  | Cash from operations | 1,170 |
| Depreciation charge in 201 | Sof \$177 |  | Dividends | $\begin{gathered} -405 \\ 2,838 \end{gathered}$ |  | Cash from investments | 128 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | FREE CASH FLOW | 1,042 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ST Bank debt | 227 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Interest expenses | 52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Tax shield | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cash flow from financing | 196 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Dividends | 405 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Marketable securities | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Increase/decrease in cash | 729 |

## EXERCISE: THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT OF SIEMENS

Consolidated Financial statements of Siemens are provided in an Excel file.

Explain and design the cash flow statement of 2012.
D. 1 Consolidated Statements of Income
| For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

| (in mlllons of $\epsilon$, per share amount in | Note | 2013 | $2012^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue |  | 75,882 | 77,395 |
| Cost of sales |  | $(55,053)$ | (55,470) |
| Gross profit |  | 20.829 | 21,925 |
| Research and development expenses |  | $(4,291)$ | $(4,245)$ |
| Selling and general administrative expenses |  | (11,286) | (11,043) |
| Other operating income | 5 | 503 | 523 |
| Other operating expenses | 6 | (427) | (364) |
| Income (loss) from investments accounted for using the equity method, net | 7 | 510 | (333) |
| Interest income | 8 | 948 | 939 |
| Interest expenses | 8 | (789) | (760) |
| Other financial income (expenses), net | , | (154) | (5) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes |  | 5,843 | 6,636 |
| Income tax expenses | 9 | (1,630) | (1,994) |
| Income from continuing operations |  | 4,212 | 4,642 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 4 | 197 | (360) |
| Net income |  | 4,409 | 4,282 |
| Atributable to: |  |  |  |
| Non-controlling interests |  | 126 | 132 |
| Shareholders of Siemens AG |  | 4,284 | 4,151 |
| D. 5 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity |  |  |  |

| For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012
D. 3 Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

| I As of September 30, 2013 and 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions ote) | Note | 09302003 | 093012012 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 9,190 | 10,891 |
| Available-for-sale financial assets | 10 | 601 | 524 |
| Trade and other receivables | 11 | 14,853 | 15,220 |
| Other current financial assets | 12 | 3,250 | 2,901 |
| Inventories | 13 | 15,560 | 15,679 |
| Current income tax assets |  | 794 | 836 |
| Other current assets | 14 | 1,297 | 1,277 |
| Assets classified as held for disposal | 4 | 1,393 | 4,799 |
| Total current assets |  | 46,937 | 52,128 |
| Goodwill | 15 | 17,883 | 17,069 |
| Other intangible assets | 16 | 5,057 | 4,595 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 17 | 9,815 | 10,763 |
| Investments accounted for using the equity method | 18 | 3,022 | 4,436 |
| Other financial assets | 19 | 15,117 | 14,666 |
| Deferred tax assets | 9 | 3,234 | 3,748 |
| Other assets |  | 872 | 846 |
| Total non-current assets |  | 54,999 | 56,123 |

## ApPENDIX 1: DOUBLE ENTRY BOOKEEPING

Double-entry bookkeeping is a system of bookkeeping so named because every entry to an account requires an opposite entry to a different account. If there is an increase or decrease in one account, there will be equal decrease or increase in another account.

The following rules of debit and credit in respect to the various categories are summarized below:

Debit
Asset
Liability
Income (revenue)
Expense
Capital

Increase
Decrease
Decrease
Increase
Decrease

## Credit

Decrease
Increase
Increase
Decrease
Increase

Assets Accounts: debit increases in assets and credit decreases in assets. Revenues or Incomes Accounts: credit increases in incomes and gains, and debit decreases in incomes and gains.
Expenses or Losses Accounts: debit increases in expenses and losses, and credit decreases in expenses and losses

## ApPENDIX 2: AcCRUED INTEREST

A term used to describe an accrual accounting method when interest that is either payable or receivable has been recognized, but not yet paid or received.

Accrued interest occurs as a result of the difference in timing of cash flows and the measurement of these cash flows.
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